

# A Pareto-Compliant Surrogate Approach for Multiobjective Optimization

Ilya Loshchilov, Marc Schoenauer and Michéle Sebag TAO, INRIA, Univesité Paris-Sud XI

## • WE HAVE:

- Current Pareto and dominated points
- WE WANT TO FIND F: decision space  $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ 
  - F < 0 for dominated points
  - $F \approx 0$  for current Pareto points

• WE EXPECT:

• F > 0 for new Pareto points

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- A Pareto-Compliant Approach for MOO
- Experiments
- Discussion and on-going work

## Support Vector Machine (1): Classification

Considering a two-class training set  $\mathcal{E} = \{(x_i, y_i), i = 1 \dots \ell, x_i \in X = \mathbb{R}^n, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}\},\$ classification SVM solves the following primal problem:

 $\underset{\{w, \rho, \xi\}}{\text{Minimize}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i$ 

subject to  $y_i(\langle w, x_i \rangle - \rho) \ge 1 - \xi_i, \quad \xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1 \dots \ell$ 

Dual problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\alpha}{\text{Maximize}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) & \text{subject to } 0 \le \alpha_i < C \text{ for } i = 1 \dots \ell \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ & \text{where } K(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / 2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$



### Support Vector Machine (2): Regression

f(x) has at most  $\epsilon$  deviation from the actually obtained targets  $y_i$  is as flat as possible.

Primal problem: Minimize 
$$\frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\xi_i^{up} + \xi_i^{low})$$
  
subject to  $\langle w, \Phi(x_i) \rangle + \rho \geq y_i + \epsilon + \xi_i^{up}$   $(i = 1 \dots \ell)$   
 $\langle w, \Phi(x_i) \rangle + \rho \leq y_i - \epsilon + \xi_i^{low}$   $(i = 1 \dots \ell)$   
 $\xi_{i}^{up} \xi_i^{low} \geq 0$   $(i = 1 \dots \ell)$ 



### Support Vector Machine (3): One-Class SVM

f(x) = +1 in a "small" region capturing most of the data points -1 elsewhere.

Primal problem: 
$$\underset{\{w, \xi^{(*)}, \rho\}}{\underset{\{w, \psi^{(*)}, \rho\}}{\underset{\{w, \psi^{(*)},$$

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- A Pareto-Compliant Approach for MOO
- Experiments
- Discussion and on-going work

## Pareto Support Vector Machine (Pareto-SVM)



### How we can use F?

- For optimization
  - If we are sure that the model F is accurate enough far from the current Pareto points
- For filtering (select the most promising children according to F):
  - F(children) > F(parent) → dangerous because of approximation noise (epsilon)
  - F(children) > F(nearest point from population) is more robust criterion

## SVM-informed EMOA: Filtering

Filtering procedure:

- Generate N<sub>inform</sub> pre-children
- For each pre-children A and the nearest parent B calculate  $Gain(A, B) = F_{svm}(A) F_{svm}(B)$
- New children is the point with the maximum value of Gain



## Support Vector Machine (SVM)

• A Pareto-Compliant Approach for MOO

## Experiments

Discussion and on-going work

#### Pareto-SVM model validation

- Generate 20.000 points at a given distance from a (known) nearly-optimal Pareto front
- Apply Non-dominated sorting to rank non-dominated fronts  $P_1, \ldots, P_N$ , where  $P_1 \prec P_2$
- Choose  $P_{80}$  and  $P_{100}$  as the training data, where  $P_{80}$  – current Pareto points,  $P_{100}$  – dominated points
- Update Pareto-SVM model
- Compare  $F_{svm}$  on different  $P_i$  (use  $P_{i<80}$  as the Test data)

#### Pareto-SVM model for ZDT1 and IHR1 problems



#### SVM-informed S-NSGA-2 and MO-CMA-ES on ZDT's and IHR's

The approximation error  $\Delta H(P^*, P) = I_H(P^*) - I_H(P)$ , where

P is current and  $P^*$  is approximate  $\mu$ -optimal distributions of optimal Pareto points.



- + Speedup of 2-2.5 on ZDT and IHR for first 15 000 evaluations
- + CPU cost of one learning ~ 0.5-1.0 sec. (complete run<15mn)

- High selection pressure may lead to premature convergence;
- The formulation of the SVM optimization problem still requires the user decision\*.

### Issue: A more robust Pareto-SVM formulation

Original Formulation:  $\underset{\{w, \xi^{(*)}, \rho\}}{\text{Minimize}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\xi_i^{up} + \xi_i^{low}) + C \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{m} \xi_i^{up} + \rho$ 

#### In feature space:

- Pareto set  $\rightarrow$  cluster around single line
- Dominated points  $\rightarrow$  one side of this line

#### Issues:

- Which side?
  - Preserve symmetry (  $w \rightarrow -w$  and  $ho \rightarrow ho$  )
- Solutions(?):
  - Manually choose  $\pm 
    ho$
  - New Formulation:  $\underset{\{w, \ \xi^{(*)}, \ \rho\}}{\text{Minimize}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\xi_i^{up} + \xi_i^{low}) + C \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{m} \xi_i^{up} + \frac{1}{2} D\rho^2$

D: additional parameter  $\rightarrow$  harder learning problem

## Perspective (1): Quality indicator-based Mono surrogate



- Regression of indicator values (e.g. hypervolume)
- Issues: value for dominated points?
  - value for extremal points?

## Perspective (2): Rank-SVM (Ordinal Regression SVM)

- Ordinal Regression SVM: surrogate constrained by ordered pairs
- MO-case: derive constraints from Pareto dominance
  If X dominates X add constraint (E(X)<E(X))</p>
  - If X dominates Y, add constraint (F(X)<F(Y))
- Learning time
  - 'quadratic' with number of constraints
  - quasi-linear with dimension
- Which constraints?



Results for single objective problems(\*): Cost of learning/testing with **n-1** constraints increases **quasi-linearly** with **dimension**.

(\*) - "Comparison-Based Optimizers Need Comparison-Based Surrogates" I.Loshchilov, M. Schoenauer, M. Sebag. In PPSN-XI (2010).

## Contributions

- Single-valued surrogate to capture Pareto dominance
- Several alternative formulations
- Using surrogate as filter limits possible speedup

Further work

- Comparison with multi-surrogate
- Rank-based SVM

# Thank you